arXiv:1604.02016v1 [math.RT] 7 Apr 2016

GENERIC CHARACTER SHEAVES ON REDUCTIVE GROUPS OVER A FINITE RING

ZHE CHEN

Abstract. Here we consider a construction of generic character sheaves on reductive groups over a finite ring at even levels, as well as the relevant induction and restriction functors, and a variant of Frobenius reciprocity. This is motivated by an algebraisation theorem in higher Deligne–Lusztig theory.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Generic characters and Deligne–Lusztig theory 2
3. Character sheaves on abelian groups 3
4. Generic character sheaves 4
5. Induction and restriction 5
6. Frobenius reciprocity 9
7. Further remarks on function fields 11
References 11

1. Introduction

The theory of character sheaves, initiated by Lusztig in [Lus86] for connected reductive groups over an algebraically closed field, is a geometrisation of characters. In this paper we propose a construction of generic character sheaves for connected reductive groups over $\mathcal{O}/\pi^r$; here $\mathcal{O}$ is a complete discrete valuation ring with a finite residue field, π a uniformiser, and r a fixed arbitrary positive even integer. Previously, based on an analogue of the Grothendieck–Springer resolution, Lusztig considered the principal series case in [Lus06] with $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{F}_q[[\pi]]$; our idea is to replace this morphism by another morphism, by the use of the *arithmetic radical* (this notion was introduced in [CS16]; we will recall it in Section 2), which works for any series. An advantage of this morphism is that it does not depend on the choice of a Borel subgroup. We describe the initial motivation in the below.

The higher Deligne–Lusztig theory studies the representations of reductive groups over $\mathcal{O}/\pi^r$ in a geometric way; it was developed for function fields (i.e. for $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{F}_q[[\pi]]$) by Lusztig in [Lus79] and [Lus04], and was generalised to an arbitrary $\mathcal{O}$ by Stasinski in [Sta09], by the technique of Greenberg functor. When $r > 1$, besides these geometrically constructed (cohomological) representations, there are also algebraically constructed (induced) representations due to Gérardin [Gér75]. These two constructions share same parameters when some regularity conditions are satisfied, and Lusztig raised the problem that whether they

coincide. We found a solution for even r in [CS16]; we recall it in Section 2. This result motivates the constructions in this paper.

In Section 3 we recall Lusztig's construction of character sheaves on commutative groups.

In Section 4 we define generic character sheaves at even levels (i.e. r even). Their characteristic functions are the characters of the higher level Deligne–Lusztig representations. In Section 5 we define the induction and restriction functors; we show they satisfy transitivities. Then in Section 6, by the use of Verdiel dual we present a variant of Frobenius reciprocity, under certain assumption. As one can see, the higher level constructions in this paper share several similar properties with the level 1 case in [Lus86].

In the final Section 7 we give some remarks in the function field case.

Acknowledgement. The author thanks Yongqi Feng and Alexander Stasinski for helpful discussions. This work will form part of the author's PhD thesis.

2. Generic characters and Deligne–Lusztig theory

Let $\mathbb{G}$ be an affine smooth group scheme over $\mathcal{O}/\pi^r$, and let $\mathbf{G}$ be its base change to $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{ur}}/\pi^r$, where $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{ur}}$ is the maximal unramified extension of $\mathcal{O}$. Denote by k (resp. $\mathbb{F}_q$) the residue field of $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{ur}}$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}$). There is an associated algebraic group $G = G_r = \mathcal{F}\mathbf{G}$ over k, where $\mathcal{F}$ is the Greenberg functor introduced in [Gre61] and [Gre63]. We recall some basic properties in this setting (for more details see [Sta09]): The Frobenius element in $\mathrm{Gal}(k/\mathbb{F}_q)$ gives a rational structure of G over $\mathbb{F}_q$; we denote the associated geometric Frobenius by F. One has

$$\mathbb{G}(\mathcal{O}/\pi^r) \cong G^F \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{G}(\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{ur}}/\pi^r) \cong G(k)$$

as abstract groups. For any positive integer $i \leq r$, the kernel of the reduction map modulo π^i is a closed condition, and defines a normal closed subgroup G^i of G; we denote the quotient group G/G^i by G_i. For convenience we put $G^0 = G$. Similar notation also applies to closed subgroups of G. For two elements x and y in an algebriac group, we use x^y short for $y^{-1}xy$.

From now on let $\mathbb{G}$ be a reductive group; by this we mean it is an affine smooth group scheme, with the geometric fibre being a connected reductive group in the usual sense. Let $\mathbf{T}$ be a maximal torus of $\mathbf{G}$ such that $T = \mathcal{F}\mathbf{T}$ is F-stable. Let $\mathbf{B}$ be a Borel subgroup of $\mathbf{G}$ containing $\mathbf{T}$; there is the Levi decomposition $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}$, where $\mathbf{U}$ is the unipotent radical of $\mathbf{B}$. Let B (resp. U) be the Greenberg functor image of $\mathbf{B}$ (resp. $\mathbf{U}$). The *Deligne–Lusztig variety* associated to T and U is (see [Lus04] and [Sta09])

$$S_{T,U} = \{g \in G \mid g^{-1}F(g) \in F(U)\}.$$

Note that $S_{T,U}$ admits a left action of G^F and a right action of T^F, and these two actions commute. They induce actions on the compactly supported cohomology groups $H_c^i(S_{T,U}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ (here ℓ is a fixed arbitrary prime not equal to $\mathrm{char}(k)$). The *Deligne–Lusztig representation* associated to $\theta \in \widehat{T^F} = \mathrm{Hom}(T^F, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times)$ is defined to be the G^F-module

$$R_{T,U}^\theta = \sum_i (-1)^i H_c^i(S_{T,U}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)_\theta,$$

where the subscript θ means taking the θ-isotypical part. Note that this is a virtual representation over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$.

In the remainder of the paper we assume $r = 2l$ is even (do not mix l with the prime ℓ). Let $\mathbf{U}^-$ be the unipotent radical of the opposite Borel subgroup of $\mathbf{B}$, and denote by U^- the corresponding Greenberg functor image. The commutative unipotent group $U^{\pm} := (U^-)^l U^l$ is called the arithmetic radical of T; it is F-stable (see [CS16]).

Let $\alpha \in \Phi$ be an arbitrary root of $\mathbf{T}$; we write $T^\alpha = \mathcal{F}\mathbf{T}^\alpha$, where $\mathbf{T}^\alpha$ is the image of $\check{\alpha}$. We call $W(T) := W(T_1)$ the Weyl group of T. More detailed properties on these concepts can be found in [DG70]. We recall some conditions on the characters of T^F considered in [Lus04], [Sta09], and [CS16].

Definition 2.1. Let $\theta \in \widehat{T^F}$.

(i) θ is said to be in *general position* if it is not stabilised by any non-trivial element in $W(T)^F$.

(ii) For every root $\alpha \in \Phi$, let a be a positive integer such that $(T^\alpha)^{r-1}$ is F^a-stable. Then θ is said to be *regular* if for every root $\alpha \in \Phi$, there is some $t \in ((T^\alpha)^{r-1})^{F^a}$ such that $\theta(tF(t)...F^{a-1}(t)) \neq 1$. The regularity does not depend on the choice of a.

(iii) Let $\widetilde{\theta}$ be the trivial extension of θ to $(TU^{\pm})^F$, then θ is said to be *generic* if it is regular, in general position, and $\mathrm{Stab}_{G^F}(\widetilde{\theta}|_{(G^l)^F}) = (TU^{\pm})^F$.

We remark that the genericity is very close to the regularity (see [CS16]). We have the following algebraisation theorem.

Theorem 2.2. *If $\theta \in \widehat{T^F}$ is regular and in general position, then $R^\theta_{T,U}$ is a sub-representation of $\mathrm{Ind}_{(TU^{\pm})^F}^{G^F}\widetilde{\theta}$. If moreover θ is generic, then $R^\theta_{T,U} \cong \mathrm{Ind}_{(TU^{\pm})^F}^{G^F}\widetilde{\theta}$.*

Proof. See [CS16]. □

3. Character sheaves on abelian groups

Here we recall the construction of character sheaves on commutative algebraic groups in [Lus06, 5], with a focus on T.

Fix an arbitrary positive integer m, let $\mathfrak{L}$ be the Lang endomorphism associated to F^m on T. There is a direct sum decomposition of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$-sheaves on T

$$\mathfrak{L}_*\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell = \bigoplus_{\psi \in \widehat{T^{F^m}}} E^\psi,$$

where E^ψ is a locally constant $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$-sheaf of rank 1, whose stalk at $t \in T$ is the 1-dimensional $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$-representation space of T^{F^m} given by ψ

$$E^\psi_t = \{f\colon \mathfrak{L}^{-1}(t) \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \mid f(t_1t_2) = \psi(t_1)f(t_2), \forall t_1 \in T^{F^m}, t_2 \in \mathfrak{L}^{-1}(t)\}.$$

There is a unique isomorphism of locally constant $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$-sheaves $\varphi\colon (F^m)^*E^\psi \cong E^\psi$, such that at stalks $E^\psi_{F^m(t)} \to E^\psi_t$ it is $\varphi_t\colon f \mapsto f \circ F^m$. Note that if $t \in T^{F^m}$, then for $y \in \mathfrak{L}^{-1}(t)$ one has $F^m(y) = ty$, so $f \circ F^m(y) = \psi(t)f(y)$.

Definition 3.1. Let $\mathcal{S}(T)$ be the set of all E^ψ, for various m and ψ.

4. Generic character sheaves

The algebraisation theorem of the generic Deligne–Lusztig representations $R^\theta_{T,U}$ at even levels (see Theorem 2.2) suggests one may develop a generic character sheaf theory for reductive groups over $\mathcal{O}_K/\pi^r$, r even, based on Gérardin's representations. Given a variety X over k, we write $\mathcal{D}(X)$ short for $D^b_c(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$, the bounded derived category of constructible $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$-sheaves. For a product variety $X \times Y$ we denote by π_X the projection to X.

Consider the diagram

$$T \xleftarrow{b} Z_T \xrightarrow{a} G \quad , \tag{1}$$

where $Z_T := \{(g, xTU^\pm) \in G \times G/TU^\pm \mid g^x \in TU^\pm\}$ is a closed subvariety of the product variety $G \times G/TU^\pm$, and a is defined to be the left projection, and b is the projection of $g^x \in TU^\pm$ to $\pi_T(g^x) \in T$.

Let us examine some basic properties of Z_T.

Lemma 4.1. *The variety Z_T, and the morphisms a and b, are all defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$.*

Proof. This follows from that $U^\pm$ is F-stable. □

Lemma 4.2. *The variety Z_T is smooth and connected.*

Proof. The argument is similar to [Lus86, 2.5.2]. Consider the surjective flat base change $\widetilde{Z_T}$ of $Z_T \subseteq G \times G/TU^\pm$ via $G \times G \to G \times G/TU^\pm$. Then one only need to show $\widetilde{Z_T} = \{(g, x) \in G \times G \mid g^x \in TU^\pm\} \subseteq G \times G$ is smooth and connected (see [GD67, 17.7.7]). By the variable change $b = g^x$ we get

$$\widetilde{Z_T} \cong \{(b, x) \in G \times G \mid b \in TU^\pm\} = TU^\pm \times G,$$

which is clearly smooth and connected. □

Now back to the diagram

$$T \xleftarrow{b} Z_T \xrightarrow{a} G.$$

For an arbitrary positive integer m and an arbitrary $\theta \in \widehat{T^{F^m}}$, consider $E^\theta \in \mathcal{S}(T)$. The isomorphism $\varphi\colon (F^m)^* E^\theta \cong E^\theta$ induces an isomorphism $\varphi\colon (F^m)^* Ra_!(b^* E^\theta) \cong Ra_!(b^* E^\theta)$ for the complex $K^\theta := Ra_!(b^* E^\theta) \in \mathcal{D}(G)$.

Definition 4.3. We call the complexes K^θ, for various generic characters $\theta \in \widehat{T^{F^m}}$ and various $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, the generic character sheaves on G.

Let $\theta \in \widehat{T^{F^m}}$ be a generic character, we want to evaluate the characteristic function of the complex K^θ with respect to φ, i.e. evaluate

$$\chi_{K^\theta,\varphi}(g) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^i \cdot \mathrm{Tr}(\varphi_g, \mathcal{H}^i(K^\theta)_g)$$

for any $g \in G^{F^m}$; it is indeed the character value of the Deligne–Lusztig representation $R^\theta_{T,U}$.

Proposition 4.4. *Along with the above notations, we have $\chi_{K^\theta,\varphi}(g) = \mathrm{Tr}(g, R^\theta_{T,U})$.*

Proof. The argument is standard. Firstly, by the proper base change theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_{Ra_!b^*E^\theta,\varphi}(g) &= \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}(-1)^i\cdot \mathrm{Tr}\left(\varphi_g, \mathcal{H}^i(Ra_!(b^*E^\theta))_g\right)\\ &= \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}(-1)^i\cdot \mathrm{Tr}\left(\varphi, H^i_c(a^{-1}(g), b^*E^\theta)\right).\end{aligned}$$

Then by applying the Grothendieck–Lefschetz trace formula we get

$$\begin{aligned}\text{The above} &= \sum_{xTU^\pm\in(G/TU^\pm)^{F^m},\ (g,xTU^\pm)\in Z_T} \mathrm{Tr}\left(\varphi, b^*E^\theta_{(g,xTU^\pm)}\right)\\ &= \sum_{xTU^\pm\in(G/TU^\pm)^{F^m},\ g^x\in TU^\pm} \mathrm{Tr}\left(\varphi, E^\theta_{\pi_T(g^x)}\right)\\ &= \frac{1}{|(TU^\pm)^{F^m}|}\cdot \sum_{x\in G^{F^m},\ g^x\in TU^\pm} \theta\left(\pi_T(g^x)\right).\end{aligned}$$

This is, by definition of induced characters, the character value of $\mathrm{Ind}_{(TU^\pm)^{F^m}}^{G^{F^m}}\widetilde{\theta}$ at g. Now the proposition follows from Theorem 2.2. □

5. Induction and restriction

In this and the next sections we define induction and restriction functors, and show their transitivities as well as a variant of Frobenius reciprocity. Given a variety X over k, let $\mathcal{D}^{\leq 0}(X)$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{D}(X)$ consisting of objects K such that $\mathcal{H}^i(K)$ has support of dimension $\leq i$ for any integer i, and let $\mathcal{D}^{\geq 0}(X)$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{D}(X)$ consisting of objects K such that $\mathbb{D}_X(K)\in\mathcal{D}^{\leq 0}(X)$, where $\mathbb{D}_X$ is the Verdier dual of K in $\mathcal{D}(X)$. The category of perverse sheaves is defined to be $\mathcal{M}(X)=\mathcal{D}^{\leq 0}(X)\cap\mathcal{D}^{\geq 0}(X)$.

Fix an arbitrary parabolic subgroup $\mathbf{P}$ of $\mathbf{G}$ containing $\mathbf{T}$, let $\mathbf{L}$ be a fixed arbitrary Levi subgroup of $\mathbf{P}$ containing $\mathbf{T}$; denote by P and L the corresponding Greenberg functor images, respectively. Write $\mathbf{U_P}$ for the unipotent radical of $\mathbf{P}$, and write $\mathbf{U_P^-}$ for the unipotent radical of its opposite parabolic subgroup; their Greenberg functor images are denoted by U_P and U_P^-, respectively. We put $U^\pm_{G-L}:=(U_P)^l(U_P^-)^l$ and $U^\pm_L:=L\cap U^\pm$. More detailed properties about parabolic subgroups and Levi subgroups can be found in [DG70, XXVI]. For a smooth morphism $f\colon X\to Y$ with connected fibres of dimension d, we use the notation $\widetilde{f}:=f^*[d]$; it is known that $\widetilde{f}$ is a fully faithful functor from $\mathcal{M}(Y)$ to $\mathcal{M}(X)$ (see [Lus86, 1.8.3]).

We start with induction. Consider the varieties

$$Z_L^G:=\{(g,xLU^\pm)\in G\times G/LU^\pm \mid g^x\in LU^\pm\}$$

and

$$\widetilde{Z_L^G}:=\{(g,x)\in G\times G\mid g^x\in LU^\pm\}\cong LU^\pm\times G;$$

they admit the G-action $y\in G\colon (g,x)\mapsto(ygy^{-1},yx)$. There is a G-equivariant smooth morphism with connected fibres

$$\pi'_{L,G}\colon \widetilde{Z_L^G}\longrightarrow Z_L^G,\quad (g,x)\mapsto(g,xLU^\pm);$$

this morphism is a principal $LU^{\pm}$-fibration, where $LU^{\pm}$ acts on $\widetilde{Z_L^G}$ by $y' \in LU^{\pm}$: $(g,x) \mapsto (g, xy^{-1})$. Moreover, note that the quotient $G \to G/LU^{\pm}$ is locally trivial (since the multiplication morphism $\mathbf{U_P^-} \times \mathbf{U_P} \times \mathbf{L} \to \mathbf{G}$ is an open immersion; see [DG70, XXVI 4.3.2]), therefore $\pi'_{L,G}$ is a locally trivial principal fibration by $LU^{\pm}$. Let G acts trivially on L, then there is another G-equivariant smooth morphism with connected fibres

$$\pi_{L,G} \colon \widetilde{Z_L^G} \longrightarrow L, \quad (g,x) \mapsto \pi_L(g^x),$$

where π_L is the projection from $LU^{\pm}$ to L. By this morphism the action of $LU^{\pm}$ on $\widetilde{Z_L^G}$ induces an action of $LU^{\pm}$ on L that compatible with the conjugation action of L on itself, so $\pi_{L,G}$ is an $LU^{\pm}$-equivariant morphism. Now we can consider the diagram

$$L \xleftarrow{\pi_{L,G}} \widetilde{Z_L^G} \xrightarrow{\pi'_{L,G}} Z_L^G \xrightarrow{\pi''_{L,G}} G, \tag{2}$$

where $\pi''_{L,G}$ is the left projection (which is G-equivariant with the conjugation action of G on itself). If $K \in \mathcal{M}(L)$ is L-equivariant (in the sense of [Lus86, 1.9]), then $\widetilde{\pi}_{L,G}K$ is $LU^{\pm}$-equivariant and G-equivariant. Moreover, since $\pi'_{L,G}$ is a locally trivial principal fibration by $LU^{\pm}$, there is a unique (up to isomorphisms) G-equivariant perverse sheaf $K_{L,G} \in \mathcal{M}(Z_L^G)$ such that $\widetilde{\pi}_{L,G}K \cong \widetilde{\pi}'_{L,G}K_{L,G}$; see [Lus86, 1.8.3] and [Lus86, 1.9.3]. So we get a complex $R(\pi''_{L,G})_! K_{L,G} \in \mathcal{D}(G)$; if it is perverse, then it is G-equivariant by the proper base change theorem [Lus86, 1.7.5].

Definition 5.1. Given $K \in \mathcal{M}(L)$ equivariant under the conjugation action of L, along with the above notations, we put $\mathrm{ind}_L^G K := R(\pi''_{L,G})_! K_{L,G} \in \mathcal{D}(G)$.

Example 5.2. If $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{G}$, then $\mathrm{ind}_G^G K = K$ by the equivariance property.

Example 5.3. If $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{T}$ is a maximal torus, then $Z_T^G = Z_T$, and $\pi_{L,G}$ naturally factors through $b \colon Z_T^G \to T$ (b is the morphism in (1)), which implies $K_{L,G} \cong \widetilde{b}K$. Now with this simpler construction, we see $\mathrm{ind}_T^G E^\theta[\dim T] \cong K^\theta[\dim G]$ for any $E^\theta \in \mathcal{S}(T)$. In this situation, one might expect moreover that $K^\theta[\dim G]$ is a simple perverse sheaf, provided θ is generic: This is known in certain cases; see Section 7.

Proposition 5.4. *Let* $\mathbf{M}$ *be a Levi subgroup of* $\mathbf{L}$ *containing* $\mathbf{T}$*; denote by* M *its Greenberg functor image. If* $K \in \mathcal{M}(M)$ *is an* M*-equivariant perverse sheaf such that* $\mathrm{ind}_M^L K$ *is a perverse sheaf, then*

$$\mathrm{ind}_M^G K \cong \mathrm{ind}_L^G \circ \mathrm{ind}_M^L K.$$

Proof. The argument is an analogue to the one in [Lus86, 4.2]. Consider the commutative diagram



where $X := \{(g, x, z) \in G \times G \times LU^{\pm} \mid g^x \in MU^{\pm}\}$, and Y is the quotient of X by the $MU^{\pm}$-action given by $q \in MU^{\pm}$: $(g, x, z) \mapsto (g, xq^{-1}, zq^{-1})$; f denotes the quotient morphism. The other morphisms are as below:

d: $(g, x, z) \mapsto \pi_M(g^x)$, where π_M is the projection from $MU^{\pm}$ to M;
h_1 is $(g, x, z) \mapsto (\pi_L(g^{xz^{-1}}), \pi_L(z))$;
h_2: $(g, x, z) \mapsto (g, x)$;
e_1: $(g, x, z) \mapsto (\pi_L(g^{xz^{-1}}), \pi_L(z)MU_L^{\pm}) \in Z_M^L \subseteq L \times L/MU_L^{\pm}$;
e_2: $(g, x, z) \mapsto (g, xMU^{\pm}) \in Z_M^G = \{(g, x) \in G \times G/MU^{\pm} \mid g^x \in MU^{\pm}\}$;
g_1: $(g, x, z) \mapsto (g, xz^{-1})$;
g_2: $(g, xMU^{\pm}) \mapsto (g, xLU^{\pm})$.

Note in the above diagram, the below two squares are cartesian, and e_i and f are smooth morphisms with connected fibres.

To show $\mathrm{ind}_M^G K \cong \mathrm{ind}_L^G \mathrm{ind}_M^L K$, i.e. to show

$$R(\pi''_{L,G})_! R(g_2)_! K_{M,G} \cong R(\pi''_{L,G})_! (\mathrm{ind}_M^L K)_{L,G},$$

it suffices to show

$$R(g_2)_! K_{M,G} \cong (\mathrm{ind}_M^L K)_{L,G}.$$

Since $\widetilde{\pi}'_{L,G}$ is fully faithful on perverse sheaves (by [Lus86, 1.8.3]), the above can be deduced by showing

$$\widetilde{\pi}'_{L,G} R(g_2)_! K_{M,G} \cong \widetilde{\pi}'_{L,G} (\mathrm{ind}_M^L K)_{L,G}. \tag{3}$$

Note that

$$\widetilde{\pi}'_{L,G} (\mathrm{ind}_M^L K)_{L,G} \cong \widetilde{\pi}_{L,G} \mathrm{ind}_M^L K \cong \widetilde{\pi}_{L,G} R(\pi''_{M,L})_! K_{M,L}$$

by the definition of $(\mathrm{ind}_M^L K)_{L,G}$, so (3) is equaivalent to

$$R(g_1)_! \widetilde{e}_2 K_{M,G} \cong R(g_1)_! \widetilde{e}_1 K_{M,L}$$

by the proper base change theorem. Thus we only need to show $\widetilde{e}_2 K_{M,G} \cong \widetilde{e}_1 K_{M,L}$; this is equivalent to

$$\widetilde{f} \widetilde{e}_2 K_{M,G} \cong \widetilde{f} \widetilde{e}_1 K_{M,L} \tag{4}$$

as $\widetilde{f}$ is fully faithful on perverse sheaves (again by [Lus86, 1.8.3]). By the definitions of $K_{M,L}$ and $K_{M,G}$, (4) follows from

$$\widetilde{h}_1\widetilde{\pi}_{M,L}K = \widetilde{d}K = \widetilde{h}_2\widetilde{\pi}_{M,G}K,$$

so we complete the proof. □

Now we turn to restriction.

Definition 5.5. Consider the diagram

$$L \xleftarrow{\pi_L} LU^{\pm} \xrightarrow{i} G, \tag{5}$$

where i is the natural closed immersion and π_L is the projection from $LU^{\pm} \cong L \times U^{\pm}_{G-L}$ to L. For any $K \in \mathcal{D}(G)$, we put $\mathrm{res}^G_L K := R(\pi_L)_! i^* K \in \mathcal{D}(L)$.

Example 5.6. Consider the case that $K = K^\theta$ with $\theta \in \widehat{T^{F^m}}$ for some positive integer m. Suppose L is defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Then since both π_L and i are defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$, the isomorphism $\varphi\colon (F^m)^* K^\theta \cong K^\theta$ induces an isomorphism $(F^m)^* \mathrm{res}^G_L K^\theta \cong \mathrm{res}^G_L K^\theta$, and we denote it again by φ. Thus by basic properties of characteristic functions we see

$$\chi_{\mathrm{res}^G_L K^\theta, \varphi}(s) = \sum_{u \in (U^{\pm}_{G-L})^{F^m}} \chi_{K^\theta,\varphi}(su) = \sum_{u \in (U^{\pm}_{G-L})^{F^m}} \mathrm{Tr}(su, \mathrm{Ind}^{G^{F^m}}_{(TU^{\pm})^{F^m}} \widetilde{\theta}),$$

for any $s \in L^{F^m}$.

Proposition 5.7. *Suppose* $\mathbf{M}$ *is a Levi subgroup of* $\mathbf{L}$ *containing* $\mathbf{T}$*, and denote by* M *its Greenberg functor image, then*

$$\mathrm{res}^G_M \cong \mathrm{res}^L_M \circ \mathrm{res}^G_L.$$

Proof. Consider the following commutative diagram



,

Where π' and π'_M are the natural projections, and i_1, i_2, and i'_2 are the natural inclusions. Note that the middle diamond is cartesian, so by the proper base change we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{res}^G_M &= R(\pi_M)_! i^* \\
&= R(\pi'_M)_! R(\pi')_! (i'_2)^* i_1^* \\
&= R(\pi'_M)_! (i_2)^* R(\pi_L)_! i_1^* \\
&= \mathrm{res}^L_M \circ \mathrm{res}^G_L.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the transitivity holds. □

6. Frobenius reciprocity

As in the level $r = 1$ case, one desires $\mathrm{res}^G_L A \in \mathcal{D}^{\leq 0}(L)$; see [Lus86, 4.4]. By assuming this property we prove a variant of Frobenius reciprocity for the induction and restriction functors. Firstly note that, if K is an equivariant perverse sheaf on L, then by the compatibilities between the Veridier duality functor and (proper) pull-backs (see e.g. [BD10, E.4]), its Verdier dual $\mathbb{D}_L K$ is also an equivariant perverse sheaf. We ignore Tate twists.

Proposition 6.1. *If $A_1 \in \mathcal{M}(L)$ is L-equivariant, and $A \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ is G-equivariant such that $\mathrm{res}^G_L A \in \mathcal{D}^{\leq 0}(L)$, then*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(L)}(\mathrm{res}^G_L A, A_1) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(G)}(A, \mathbb{D}_G \circ \mathrm{ind}^G_L \circ \mathbb{D}_L A_1).$$

Proof. We start with a similar method in [Lus86, 4.4]. Consider the commutative diagram



where i and π_L are as in (5), $\pi''_{L,G}$ is as in (2), and β is the quotient morphism of the $LU^{\pm}$-action on $L \times G$ given by

$$y \in LU^{\pm} \colon (g, x) \mapsto (\pi_L(y) g \pi_L(y)^{-1}, xy^{-1});$$

and the other morphisms are as below:

ζ', θ', and γ are left projections;
$\theta \colon (g, x) \mapsto \pi_L(g)$;
$\phi := \pi_L \times \mathrm{id}$;
$\zeta \colon (g, x) \mapsto xgx^{-1}$;

for f and ρ, recall that $Z^G_L = \{(g, x) \in G \times G/LU^{\pm} \mid g^x \in LU^{\pm}\}$, then we put

$f \colon (g, xLU^{\pm}) \mapsto (\pi_L(g^x), x) \mod LU^{\pm}$;
$\rho \colon (g, x) \mapsto (xgx^{-1}, xLU^{\pm})$.

In this diagram, by identifying $LU^{\pm} \times G$ with $\widetilde{Z^G_L}$ we see ρ can be viewed as $\pi'_{L,G}$, so (ϕ, γ, π_L, θ') and (f, β, ρ, ϕ) form two cartesian diagrams, β is a locally trivial fibration (as ϕ and ρ are locally trivial fibrations), and f is smooth with connected fibres ($\cong U^{\pm}_{G-L}$).

Firstly, by [Lus86, 1.8.2] we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(L)}\left(\mathrm{res}^G_L A, A_1\right) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(L \times G)}\left(\widetilde{\gamma}\mathrm{res}^G_L A, \widetilde{\gamma} A_1\right). \tag{6}$$

Consider the right hand side; by the proper base change theorem

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\gamma}\mathrm{res}_L^G A =&\gamma^* R(\pi_L)_! i^* A[\dim G]\\ =&R\phi_!(\theta')^* i^* A[\dim G]\\ =&R\phi_!(\zeta')^* A[\dim G],\end{aligned}$$

which is actually $R\phi_!(\zeta)^* A[\dim G]$ by the equivariance of A, and then again by the proper base change theorem we get

$$\begin{aligned}R\phi_!(\zeta)^* A[\dim G] =&R\phi_!\rho^*(\pi''_{L,G})^* A[\dim G]\\ =&\beta^* Rf_!(\pi''_{L,G})^* A[\dim G]\\ =&\widetilde{\beta}Rf_!(\pi''_{L,G})^* A[\dim U^{\pm}_{G-L}].\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by [Lus86, 1.9.3] we have $\widetilde{\gamma}A_1 = \widetilde{\beta}A'_1$ for some $A'_1 \in \mathcal{M}(LU^{\pm}\backslash L\times G)$, so (6) becomes

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(L)}\left(\mathrm{res}_L^G A, A_1\right) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(L\times G)}\left(\widetilde{\beta}Rf_!(\pi''_{L,G})^* A[\dim U^{\pm}_{G-L}], \widetilde{\beta}A'_1\right). \tag{7}$$

Now, by [Lus86, 1.8.1] the condition $\mathrm{res}_L^G A \in \mathcal{D}^{\leq 0}(L)$ is equivalent to

$$\widetilde{\beta}Rf_!(\pi''_{L,G})^* A[\dim U^{\pm}_{G-L}] \in \mathcal{D}^{\leq 0}(L\times G),$$

thus [Lus86, 1.8.2] and adjunctions imply

$$\begin{aligned}(7) =&\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(LU^{\pm}\backslash L\times G)}\left(Rf_!(\pi''_{L,G})^* A[\dim U^{\pm}_{G-L}], A'_1\right)\\ =&\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(G)}\left(A, R(\pi''_{L,G})_* f^! A'_1[-\dim U^{\pm}_{G-L}]\right).\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}R(\pi''_{L,G})_* f^! A'_1[-\dim U^{\pm}_{G-L}] &= R(\pi''_{L,G})_* f^!(\mathbb{D}_{LU^{\pm}\backslash L\times G}\circ\mathbb{D}_{LU^{\pm}\backslash L\times G})A'_1[-\dim U^{\pm}_{G-L}]\\ &= \left(\mathbb{D}_G\circ R(\pi''_{L,G})_! f^*\mathbb{D}_{LU^{\pm}\backslash L\times G}A'_1\right)[-\dim U^{\pm}_{G-L}]\\ &= \mathbb{D}_G\left(R(\pi''_{L,G})_! f^*(\mathbb{D}_{LU^{\pm}\backslash L\times G}A'_1)[\dim U^{\pm}_{G-L}]\right)\\ &= \mathbb{D}_G R(\pi''_{L,G})_!\widetilde{f}\mathbb{D}_{LU^{\pm}\backslash L\times G}A'_1\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

by the Verdier duality (see e.g. [BD10, E.4]).

Meanwhile, since $\widetilde{\gamma}A_1 = \widetilde{\beta}A'_1$, we see

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\gamma}\mathbb{D}_L A_1 = \gamma^*(\mathbb{D}_L A_1)[\dim G] = \mathbb{D}_{L\times G}(\gamma^! A_1[-\dim G]) = \mathbb{D}_{L\times G}\widetilde{\gamma}A_1\\ = \mathbb{D}_{L\times G}\widetilde{\beta}A'_1 = \beta^!(\mathbb{D}_{LU^{\pm}\backslash L\times G}A'_1)[-\dim LU^{\pm}] = \widetilde{\beta}\mathbb{D}_{LU^{\pm}\backslash L\times G}A'_1,\end{aligned}$$

so

$$\widetilde{\rho}(\mathbb{D}_L A_1)_{L,G} \cong \widetilde{\phi}\widetilde{\gamma}\mathbb{D}_L A_1 \cong \widetilde{\phi}\widetilde{\beta}\mathbb{D}_{LU^{\pm}\backslash L\times G}A'_1 \cong \widetilde{\rho}\widetilde{f}\mathbb{D}_{LU^{\pm}\backslash L\times G}A'_1.$$

Thus by the uniqueness of $(\mathbb{D}_L A_1)_{L,G}$ (see the paragraph below (2) for the definition of $(-)_{L,G}$ and the uniqueness) we get $\widetilde{f}\mathbb{D}_{LU^{\pm}\backslash L\times G}A'_1 \cong (\mathbb{D}_L A_1)_{L,G}$. Therefore

$$(8) = \mathbb{D}_G R(\pi''_{L,G})_!(\mathbb{D}_L A_1)_{L,G} = \mathbb{D}_G\circ\mathrm{ind}_L^G\circ\mathbb{D}_L A_1.$$

This completes the proof. □

7. Further remarks on function fields

Throughout this section we focus on the function field case $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{F}_q[[\pi]]$. Note that a very special phenomenon happened in this case is the existence of a natural section $G_1 \to G$ to the reduction map.

In this situation. Lusztig considered in [Lus06] the generic principal series character sheaves (here "principal series" means the involved T is contained in an F-stable B), and conjectured these complexes are perverse; his construction is based on an analogue of the Grothendieck–Springer resolution. Later, in [Lus15] Lusztig proved his conjecture at levels $r \leq 4$, under certain restriction on the characteristic of the base field $\mathbb{F}_q$ (this restriction depends on r and G_1, and is to ensure the existences of certain symmetric form on the Lie algebra and certain vector bundle structure). In his argument, certain complexes based on Gérardin's representations are proved to be perverse (his construction of these complexes is a little bit different from the one in this paper, but the resulting complexes are up to shifts isomorphic), and he showed the principal series character sheaves coincide with these complexes. This coincidence was extended to a general r by Kim [Kim16] recently, under the same restriction.

Meanwhile, at level $r = 2$, Fan considered in his PhD thesis [Fan12] another construction of character sheaves, by a method different from ours. While in his construction the characteristic functions are not known, it is by definition that his complexes are perverse. It would be interesting to understand the relations between his construction and our construction.

Finally, note that Lusztig's perversity conjecture [Lus06, 8(a)] also makes sense for the complexes considered in this paper, beyond the principal series case and the function field case.

References

[BD10] Mitya Boyarchenko and Vladimir Drinfeld. A motivated introduction to character sheaves and the orbit method for unipotent groups in positive characteristic. *arXiv preprint arXiv:0609769v2*, 2010.

[CS16] Zhe Chen and Alexander Stasinski. The algebraisation of higher Deligne–Lusztig representations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1604.01615*, 2016.

[DG70] Michel Demazure and Alexander Grothendieck. *Séminaire de géométrie algébrique du Bois Marie 1962/64; schemas en groupes (SGA 3)*. Springer-Verlag, 1970.

[Fan12] Zhaobing Fan. *Geometric approach to Hall algebras and character sheaves*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2012. Thesis (Ph.D.)–Kansas State University.

[GD67] Alexander Grothendieck and Jean Dieudonné. *Éléments de géométrie algébrique IV Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas*. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math., 1964-1967.

[Gér75] Paul Gérardin. *Construction de séries discrètes p-adiques*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 462. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1975.

[Gre61] Marvin J. Greenberg. Schemata over local rings. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 73:624–648, 1961.

[Gre63] Marvin J. Greenberg. Schemata over local rings. II. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 78:256–266, 1963.

[Kim16] Dongkwan Kim. A comparison of two complexes. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1603.03845*, 2016.

[Lus79] George Lusztig. Some remarks on the supercuspidal representations of p-adic semisimple groups. In *Automorphic forms, representations and L-functions, Part 1*, pages 171–175. Amer. Math. Soc., 1979.

[Lus86] George Lusztig. Character sheaves I-V. *Adv. in Math.*, 56,57,59,61, 1985-1986.

[Lus04] George Lusztig. Representations of reductive groups over finite rings. *Represent. Theory*, 8:1–14, 2004.

[Lus06] George Lusztig. Character sheaves and generalizations. In *The unity of mathematics*, volume 244 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 443–455. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2006.

[Lus15] George Lusztig. Generic character sheaves on groups over $\mathbf{k}[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^r)$. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1508.05015*, 2015.

[Sta09] Alexander Stasinski. Unramified representations of reductive groups over finite rings. *Represent. Theory*, 13:636–656, 2009.

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Durham University, Durham, DH1 3LE, UK
E-mail address: zhe.chen@durham.ac.uk